Two–loop Dirac neutrino mass and WIMP dark matter

Cesar Bonilla,[1,*] Ernest Ma,[2,†] Eduardo Peinado,[3,‡] and Jose W.F. Valle[1,§]

[1] *AHEP Group, Instituto de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C./Universitat de València Edificio de Institutos de Paterna, C/Catedratico José Beltrán, 2 E-46980 Paterna (València) - SPAIN*

[2] *Physics Department, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA*

[3] *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, A.P. 20-364, Ciudad de México 01000, México.*

arXiv:1607.03931v2 [hep-ph] 20 Sep 2016

Abstract

We propose a "scotogenic" mechanism relating small neutrino mass and cosmological dark matter. Neutrinos are Dirac fermions with masses arising only in two–loop order through the sector responsible for dark matter. Two triality symmetries ensure both dark matter stability and strict lepton number conservation at higher orders. A global spontaneously broken U(1) symmetry leads to a physical *Diracon* that induces invisible Higgs decays which add up to the Higgs to dark matter mode. This enhances sensitivities to spin–independent WIMP dark matter search below $m_h/2$.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 12.60.Cn, 14.60.St

*Electronic address: cesar.bonilla@ific.uv.es

†Electronic address: ma@phyun8.ucr.edu

‡Electronic address: epeinado@fisica.unam.mx

§Electronic address: valle@ific.uv.es

I. INTRODUCTION

Two of the main observational shortcomings of the Standard Model is that it lacks neutrino masses [1] as well as a viable candidate for cosmological dark matter [2]. Even though light neutrinos themselves can account only for a very small fraction of the dark matter, they may hold the key to the basic understanding of what causes the dark matter to exist in the first place. Indeed, the existence of neutrino masses and of cosmological dark matter may be closely interconnected in several ways [3]. For example, the mechanism of neutrino mass generation itself can involve the exchange of particles which make up the bulk of the observed dark matter. This is the main idea of scotogenic models [4, 5]. The prototype model is based on the assumption that the dark sector, odd under a parity symmetry, is connected with the neutrino sector through the generation of the light neutrino masses. The dark matter particle plays the role of messenger of radiative neutrino mass generation [6, 7]. In the simplest conventional scenario [4], the dark matter is made up of a weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP), for example, the lightest scalar component of an inert Higgs doublet.

In this letter we explore the possibility of generating scotogenic Dirac neutrino masses radiatively, by forbidding lepton number violation through the cyclic Z_3 symmetry. This ensures strict lepton number conservation and the Diracness of neutrinos at higher orders. Another discrete Z_3 symmetry is responsible for dark matter stability. Neutrino masses are generated only at the two–loop level, through the same sector responsible for cosmological dark matter. This new realization combines the idea of two–loop scotogenic neutrino masses suggested in [8] with the idea of having a conserved lepton number leading to the Dirac nature of neutrinos. In our model there is a global U(1) symmetry which forbids the usual Dirac mass term of the neutrinos with the standard Higgs [9, 10] [1]. This symmetry breaks spontaneously leading to a physical Goldstone boson – a gauge singlet *Diracon* [12] – which induces invisible Higgs decays. These are analogous to the invisible Higgs decays by Majoron emission in models with Majorana neutrinos [13]. The extra invisible channel adds up to the Higgs boson decays to pairs of dark matter particles at collider experiments, providing tighter limits on WIMP dark matter below $m_h/2$.

[1] In contrast to Refs. [9, 10], neutrinos here are Dirac fermions, as opposed to Quasi-Dirac [11].

II. THE MODEL

We will consider a simple extension of the standard $\mathrm{SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y}$ model with the symmetries and field content indicated in Table I, where ω and α are cube roots of unity, i.e. $\omega^3 = 1 = \alpha^3$. There are two complex $SU(2)_L$ doublets, H and η and three complex singlets, σ, χ and ξ.

	$\bar{L}$	ν^c	H	η	N	S	σ	ξ	χ
$SU(2)_L$	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
$U(1)_D$	-1	3	0	0	-1	1	2	-2	0
Z_3^{DM}	1	1	1	α	α	α	1	α^2	α
Z_3	ω	ω^2	1	1	ω	ω^2	1	1	1

TABLE I: Relevant particle content and quantum numbers of the model.

The invariant Yukawa Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\nu} \bar{L} \tilde{\eta} S + y^{R} \nu^{c} N \xi + \lambda N S \chi. \tag{1}$$

The scalar potential can be separated as follows

$$\mathcal{V} = V + V(H, \eta) + V(\xi, \sigma, \chi, H, \eta), \tag{2}$$

where the first term V in the scalar potential contains the relevant terms for the generation of the neutrino masses, namely,

$$V = \lambda_1^{\chi} H^{\dagger} \eta \chi^{*} + \lambda_2^{\chi} \chi \sigma \xi + \lambda_3^{\chi} \chi^3 + h.c. \tag{3}$$

while the second term $V(H, \eta)$ is the Higgs potential associated to the η doublet

$$\begin{aligned} V(H,\eta) \;&=\; \mu_1^2 H^{\dagger} H + \mu_2^2 \eta^{\dagger} \eta + \lambda_1 |H|^4 + \lambda_2 |\eta|^4 + \lambda_3 |H|^2 |\eta|^2 \\ &+\; \lambda_4 |H^{\dagger} \eta|^2 + \lambda_5 \left[(H^{\dagger} \eta)^2 + h.c. \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The last term $V(\xi,\sigma,\chi,H,\eta)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\xi,\sigma,\chi,H,\eta) &= \mu_\xi^2\xi\xi^* + \mu_\sigma^2\sigma\sigma^* + \mu_\chi^2\chi\chi^* + \lambda_\xi(\xi\xi^*)^2 + \lambda_\sigma(\sigma\sigma^*)^2 + \lambda_\chi(\chi\chi^*)^2 + \lambda_{\sigma\xi}\sigma\sigma^*\xi\xi^* \\ &+ \lambda_{\chi\xi}\chi\chi^*\xi\xi^* + \lambda_{\chi\sigma}\chi\chi^*\sigma\sigma^* + \lambda_{\chi H}\chi\chi^*H^\dagger H + \lambda_{\chi\eta}\chi\chi^*\eta^\dagger\eta + \lambda_{\xi H}\xi\xi^*H^\dagger H \\ &+ \lambda_{\xi\eta}\xi\xi^*\eta^\dagger\eta + \lambda_{\sigma H}\sigma\sigma^*H^\dagger H + \lambda_{\sigma\eta}\sigma\sigma^*\eta^\dagger\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

After spontaneous symmetry breaking the fields are shifted as follows,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_h + h^0 + iA^0) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_R + i\eta_I) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

$$\sigma = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_\sigma + \sigma_R + i\sigma_I), \qquad \chi = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_R + i\chi_I), \qquad \xi = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_R + i\xi_I).$$

Notice that there are no vacuum expectation values (vevs) for scalars η, χ, ξ which are charged under Z_3^{DM}.



FIG. 1: Two–loop generation of Dirac neutrino mass.

The fermions N and S will form heavy Dirac neutrinos by pairing with their corresponding partners $\bar{N}$ and $\bar{S}$. The light neutrinos acquire their masses via the loop in Fig. 1. From the minimization of the scalar potential, the scalar fields charged under the Z_3^{DM} do not acquire vacuum expectation values (vevs), while for the Higgs and the σ fields, there are two relevant tadpole equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1^2 &= \lambda_1 v_h^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_{\sigma H} v_\sigma^2, \\ \mu_\sigma^2 &= \lambda_2 v_\sigma^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_{\sigma H} v_h^2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The corresponding mass matrix for the CP-even "active" scalars is

$$M_R^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_1 v_h^2 & \lambda_{\sigma H} v_h v_\sigma \\ \lambda_{\sigma H} v_h v_\sigma & 2\lambda_\sigma v_\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

The pseudoscalars include the unphysical Goldstone boson G^0 and a physical one, $\mathcal{D}$, namely the *Diracon*. In contrast to that of Ref. [12] the *Diracon* here is a pure singlet under weak SU(2) and hence is not subject to the strong astrophysical bound coming from stellar cooling considerations [14].

The mass matrices for the scalar and pseudoscalar "dark" sector (charged under Z_3^{DM}) in the basis η, χ, ξ are given as

$$\mathcal{M}_R^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{345}^+ v_h^2 + \lambda_{\sigma\eta} v_\sigma^2 - 2\mu_\eta^2\right) & \frac{\lambda_1^\chi v_h}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda_1^\chi v_h}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{\chi H} v_h^2 + \lambda_{\xi\sigma} v_\sigma^2\right) & \frac{\lambda_2^\chi v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_2^\chi v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{\xi H} v_h^2 + \lambda_{\sigma\xi} v_\sigma^2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_I^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{345}^- v_h^2 + \lambda_{\sigma\eta} v_\sigma^2 - 2\mu_\eta^2\right) & -\frac{\lambda_1^\chi v_h}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{\lambda_1^\chi v_h}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{\chi H} v_h^2 + \lambda_{\xi\sigma} v_\sigma^2\right) & -\frac{\lambda_2^\chi v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda_2^\chi v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{\xi H} v_h^2 + \lambda_{\sigma\xi} v_\sigma^2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where the parameter $\lambda_{345}^\pm$ is given by

$$\lambda_{345}^\pm \equiv \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5. \tag{11}$$

Finally, the mass for the "inert" electrically charged scalar is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\eta^+}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(-2\mu_\eta^2 + \lambda_3 v_h^2 + \lambda_{\sigma\eta} v_\sigma^2\right). \tag{12}$$

III. DARK MATTER ANNIHILATION

As usual in scotogenic models [4] [6, 7] dark matter in our model can be either scalar or fermionic. Here we focus on the first case, where the dark matter candidate is the lightest scalar eigenstate of $\mathcal{M}_{R,I}^2$ in Eqs. (9) and (10), which can be a general mixture of Z_3^{DM}–charged doublet and singlet scalars η, χ and ξ. An important requirement for the dark matter

interpretation of such candidate is that its relic abundance matches the value observed by the Planck collaboration. There are in principle three possibilities [2]:

- mainly doublet dark matter
- generic doublet–singlet dark matter combination
- mainly singlet dark matter

The first case can be arranged if the coupling λ_1^χ is suppressed and/or the vev of σ is large. In this case one looses the signature corresponding to invisible Higgs decay to the *Diracon*, Eq. (13). The dark matter candidate is well studied in other similar scenarios such as the scotogenic model [4] and the Inert Doublet Model [15–17]. In this context, the sign of the dimensionless coupling λ_5, determines whether the dark matter has a either CP-odd or CP-even nature, and the correct relic abundance constrains the parameter $\lambda_{345}^{\pm}$ in Eq. (11) [17].

In the second and most general case the situation is analogous to that of sneutrino dark matter in the inverse seesaw model described in Ref. [18, 19]. The dark matter candidate is made up of a singlet-doublet combination with potentially "comparable" components, and can lead both to an adequate relic density as well as to a detectable signal in nuclear recoil.

Finally, the last and simplest of the three cases, corresponds to that in which the dark matter candidate is mainly singlet and is detected primarily by the Higgs portal interaction. In the present model, the dark matter singlet would be given mainly by a combination of the fields χ and ξ. Without loss of generality we will denote as X the lightest combination of these singlets [3].

However, thanks to the Z_3^{DM} nature of our dark matter candidate and to the presence of the *Diracon*, there are other distinctive features in our case. Indeed, due to the cubic terms in the scalar potential, one finds that, besides annihilations, semi-annihilation processes play an important role in determining the dark matter relic density, as explained carefully in Ref. [20]. In contrast to the case of Z_2 dark matter, the dark matter spin–independent direct detection cross section is no longer directly related to the annihilation cross section.

In the case of interest, the limit in which the dark matter candidate X is stabilized by the Z_3^{DM} symmetry has been studied in detail in Ref. [20, 21]. In this case the dimensionful term

[2] In order to generate nonzero neutrino mass through Fig. 1 none of the λ_i^χ couplings can vanish exactly. Hence the dark matter candidate is necessarily a combination of the triality–carrying scalars.

[3] We assume that the doublet-singlet mixing is negligible. Then, we define $X \equiv c_\alpha \chi - s_\alpha \xi$ and $\bar{X} \equiv s_\alpha \chi + c_\alpha \xi$.

λ_χ^3 contributes to the semi-annihilation processes like, for instance, $XX \to X^* h$ that can dominate in the determination of the relic density. As a result the λ_{XH} coupling no longer links the annihilation rate to the spin independent nuclear recoil detection cross section, in contrast to the more familiar case in which dark matter is stabilized by the Z_2 symmetry [22].



FIG. 2: Dark matter semi-annihilation channels involving the *Diracon*.

Over and above this observation, our model has further distinctively novel features associated to the presence of the *Diracon*. This leads to genuinely new interactions absent in previous dark matter models, including the simplest benchmark model studied in [22] as well as the possibilities analyzed in Refs. [20, 21]. Indeed, concerning dark matter annihilation, there are new semi-annihilation channels involving the *Diracons*, as illustrated in Fig. 2 [4]. These which should allow one to suppress the X relic density with respect to the cases considered in these references. In addition, the *Diracon* plays a role in detection, see next.

IV. DARK MATTER DETECTION

Encouraged by the above arguments concerning dark matter annihilation and semi-annihilation processes and in view of the positive results of Ref. [21], here we take for granted that an adequate relic abundance of the dark matter candidate particle can be ensured. We focus, instead, on another most salient feature of our model, namely, the presence of the invisible Higgs boson decays into *Diracons*, i.e.

$$h \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}, \tag{13}$$

[4] A detailed determination of the relic density lies outside the scope of this paper.

and its impact upon the dark matter detection prospects. Such decays through *Diracon* emission are the exact analogue of the invisible Higgs decays by Majoron emission in models with Majorana neutrinos [13]. As long as the $h \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$ coupling is non–zero, this Higgs decay mode also contributes in the range $m_X < m_h/2$, that is, when the Higgs decay into dark matter is kinematically allowed. The current bound on the invisible Higgs decays is given by $\mathcal{BR}_{\rm Inv} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\rm Inv}}{\Gamma_{\rm Inv}+\Gamma_{\rm Vis}} < 17\%$ [23]. In this scenario, the invisible Higgs decay width, $\Gamma_{\rm Inv}$, "always" has a contribution coming from its decay into *Diracons*, $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv} \equiv \Gamma(h \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D})$. As a result, for $m_X < m_h/2$, where m_X is the dark matter mass, the invisible decays have two sources, the $h \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$ and $h \to XX$, i.e. $\Gamma_{\rm Inv} = \Gamma^{X}_{\rm Inv} + \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv}$. The Standard Model Higgs is in general a combination of the doublet H and the singlet σ, if we assume that the mixing between them is small, then $\Gamma_{\rm Vis}$=$\Gamma^{SM}_{\rm Total}$ = 4.434 MeV, so that the bound on the invisible width is $\Gamma_{\rm Inv}$<0.908169 MeV. In this region there is a stronger constraint for the quartic coupling of the Higgs with the dark matter, as seen in Fig. 3. In this figure we display the constraints on λ_{HX} from the invisible decays of the Higgs (red region) as well as from the LUX [24] and PandaX [25] direct detection spin–independent cross section (purple and blue region, respectively).



FIG. 3: Exclusion regions in the (m_X,λ_{HX})-plane. The constraint from invisible Higgs decays is indicated in red. The continuous purple line correspond to the recent limit reported by LUX [24] from dark matter searches while the dot-dashed blue one indicates the current bound given by PandaX [25]. The different shades in red for the invisible decays define different contributions of $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv}$ to $\mathcal{BR}_{\rm Inv}$ (see text).

The different shades in red in Fig. 3 correspond to different contributions of decays of

Higgs into *Diracons*, $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv}$, the smaller the contribution of $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv}$, the darker the region. For instance, the darkest red corresponds to the "standard" case with $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv} = 0$, while the lightest one is for $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm Inv} = 0.9$ MeV. As a result the region excluded by the invisible Higgs decays in the (m_X,λ_{HX})–plane can be broader than the exclusion region set by the LUX data for the mass range $m_X < m_h/2$. In other words, the presence of the extra invisible decay channel into *Diracons* effectively increases the sensitivities to spin–independent WIMP dark matter searches below $m_h/2$.

V. SUMMARY

In this letter we have proposed a low–scale mechanism for naturally small Dirac neutrino masses generated only at the two–loop level. The sector responsible for cosmological dark matter acts as messenger of neutrino mass generation. Both dark matter stabilitity and strict lepton number conservation are "symmetry protected". The presence of a global spontaneously broken U(1) symmetry leads to a physical Goldstone boson, dubbed *Diracon*, that induces new invisible Higgs decays detectable at LHC and other collider experiments. The coexistence of such decays with the Higgs to dark matter channel, if kinematically allowed, leads to stronger sensitivities which we have quantified using current constraints from the LHC. Detailed analysis of the primordial WIMP dark matter density lies outside the scope of the present letter and will be presented elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Spanish grants FPA2011-2297, FPA2014-58183-P, Multidark CSD2009-00064, SEV-2014-0398 (MINECO) and PROMETEOII/2014/084 (GVA). EP is supported in part by PAPIIT IA101516 and PAPIIT IN111115. EP would like to thank the IFIC CSIC/UV for the hospitality. We thank Roberto Lineros for discussions.

[1] J. W. F. Valle and J. C. Romao, *Neutrinos in high energy and astroparticle physics*, John Wiley & Sons (2015), ISBN 978-3-527-41197-9.

[2] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, *Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints*, Phys. Rept. **405** (2005) 279–390, arXiv:hep-ph/0404175 [hep-ph].

[3] J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrinos and dark matter*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **384** (2012) 012022.

[4] E. Ma, *Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter*, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 077301, arXiv:hep-ph/0601225 [hep-ph].

[5] J. Kubo, E. Ma and D. Suematsu, *Cold Dark Matter, Radiative Neutrino Mass, $\mu \to e\gamma$, and Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay*, Phys.Lett. **B642** (2006) 18–23, arXiv:hep-ph/0604114 [hep-ph].

[6] M. Hirsch et al., *WIMP dark matter as radiative neutrino mass messenger*, JHEP **10** (2013) 149, arXiv:1307.8134 [hep-ph].

[7] A. Merle et al., *Consistency of WIMP Dark Matter as radiative neutrino mass messenger*, JHEP **07** (2016) 013, arXiv:1603.05685 [hep-ph].

[8] E. Ma, *Z(3) Dark Matter and Two-Loop Neutrino Mass*, Phys. Lett. **B662** (2008) 49–52, arXiv:0708.3371 [hep-ph].

[9] L. Bento and J. W. F. Valle, *The Simplest model for the 17-KeV neutrino and the MSW effect*, Phys. Lett. **B264** (1991) 373–380.

[10] J. T. Peltoniemi, D. Tommasini and J. W. F. Valle, *Reconciling dark matter and solar neutrinos*, Phys. Lett. **B298** (1993) 383–390.

[11] J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay With Quasi Dirac Neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. **D27** (1983) 1672–1674.

[12] C. Bonilla and J. W. F. Valle, *Naturally light neutrinos in Diracon model* (2016), arXiv:1605.08362 [hep-ph].

[13] A. S. Joshipura and J. W. F. Valle, *Invisible Higgs decays and neutrino physics*, Nucl. Phys. **B397** (1993) 105–122.

[14] G. G. Raffelt, *Stars as laboratories for fundamental physics: The astrophysics of neutrinos, axions, and other weakly interacting particles*, University of Chicago press (1996).

[15] N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, *Pattern of Symmetry Breaking with Two Higgs Doublets*, Phys.Rev. **D18** (1978) 2574.

[16] Q.-H. Cao, E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, *Observing the Dark Scalar Doublet and its Impact on the Standard-Model Higgs Boson at Colliders*, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 095011, arXiv:0708.2939 [hep-ph].

[17] A. Ilnicka, M. Krawczyk and T. Robens, *Inert Doublet Model in light of LHC Run I and astrophysical data*, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 5 055026, arXiv:1508.01671 [hep-ph].

[18] C. Arina et al., *Minimal supergravity sneutrino dark matter and inverse seesaw neutrino masses*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 161802, arXiv:0806.3225 [hep-ph].

[19] H. An, P. S. B. Dev, Y. Cai and R. N. Mohapatra, *Sneutrino Dark Matter in Gauged Inverse Seesaw Models for Neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 081806, arXiv:1110.1366 [hep-ph].

[20] G. BÃľlanger, K. Kannike, A. Pukhov and M. Raidal, *Minimal semi-annihilating $\mathbb{Z}_N$ scalar dark matter*, JCAP **1406** (2014) 021, arXiv:1403.4960 [hep-ph].

[21] G. Belanger, K. Kannike, A. Pukhov and M. Raidal, *Z_3 Scalar Singlet Dark Matter*, JCAP **1301** (2013) 022, arXiv:1211.1014 [hep-ph].

[22] L. Feng, S. Profumo and L. Ubaldi, *Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines*, JHEP **03** (2015) 045, arXiv:1412.1105 [hep-ph].

[23] P. Bechtle et al., *Probing the Standard Model with Higgs signal rates from the Tevatron, the LHC and a future ILC*, JHEP **11** (2014) 039, arXiv:1403.1582 [hep-ph].

[24] D. S. Akerib et al., *Results from a search for dark matter in LUX with 332 live days of exposure* (2016), arXiv:1608.07648 [astro-ph.CO].

[25] A. Tan et al. (PandaX-II), *Dark Matter Results from First 98.7-day Data of PandaX-II Experiment* (2016), arXiv:1607.07400 [hep-ex].